

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,822	01/29/2001	Imin Kao	A31470-PCTUS	5041
21003	7590 03/18/2003			
BAKER & B	OTTS	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA NY 10112		ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/674,822	KAO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
أمن		Richard A Rosenberger	2877			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a) □	•	nis action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/674,822

- Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaerisch et al (US 3,858,981) and Pike (US 5,311,286) in view of Hamada et al (US 4,972,075).

Both Jaerisch et al and Pike show measuring variation in depth of a surface by observing the moire fringes produced when the surface is illuminated and viewed through a grating. Jaerisch et al notes that the pitch of the grating can be small enough to produce diffraction, and that the spacing of the grating and the surface then should be adjusted to a proper distance. In the moire-based measuring art it is known that the Talbot distance is a distance that produces this recognized result, as taught bey Hamada et al, see in particular column 3, lines 33-36, which teaches that at the Talbot distance a sharp image of the grating, and thus sharp moire fringes, is formed. Thus it would have been obvious to place the grating in arrangements such as shown by Jaerisch et al and Pike at the Talbot distance to achieve this art-recognized benefit of a sharp image of the grating and a sharp

Art Unit: 2877

moire pattern. Pike teaches a formula for determining the contour interval (see equation (2), column 6, line 63).

- Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by 3. facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 308-7722.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 15 March 2003

Richard A. Rosenberger

Primary Examiner